

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/944,679	08/31/2001	Douglas J. Pearson	10017718-1	3775	
7590 06/07/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400			EXAMINER CHUONG, TRUC T		
			Fort Collins, Co		
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	 			
					!			
Office Action Summary		09/9	44,679	PEARSON, DOUGLA	S J. 			
			niner	Art Unit				
			T Chuong	2174				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	ss			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN presions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (2) Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum source to reply within the set or extended period for replace to reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause to	no event, however, may ne statutory minimum of and will expire SIX (6) M ne application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this common ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)[_]	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
/	•	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.					
3)								
7,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict the content of the cont	are withdrawn from						
Applicat	ion Papers				•			
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected that any objected the oath or declaration is objected the specific spec	ection to the drawing g the correction is r	g(s) be held in abey equired if the drawi	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •			
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	or documents have or documents have of the priority document	been received. been received incuments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmen	it(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTO 046'	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (i mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date			o(s)/Mail Date´. f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/944,679

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh (U.S. Patent No. 5,255,353) in view of Washington et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,515,682 B1).

As to claims 1 and 11, Itoh teaches a user interface and a software application comprising shadow information representing a shadow direction for an object to be rendered that when actuated actuates the rendering of a shadow having the shadow direction from the rendered object (shadow information 33, col. 1 lines 48-62, and figs. 3A & 6), however, Itoh does not clearly teach an actuatable icon on a GUI. Washington clearly shows of using icons from a GUI in OLE controls of Visual Basic to create and edit icons, control objects, forms, etc. (col. 3 lines 1-25, col. 9 line 48-col. 10 line 51, figs. 21-22). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention, that a person with ordinary skill in the art would want to be able to use the GUI of Washington in the shadow information controls of Itoh to improve visualization for a user when editing an object.

As to claims 2 and 12, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 1, further comprising an actuatable icon representing a shadow length for the rendered shadow that when

Application/Control Number: 09/944,679

Art Unit: 2174

actuated actuates the rendered shadow having the shadow length (magnification, col. 6 lines 12-55, col. 7 lines 31-76, and figs. 9G-F).

As to claim 3, Itoh in view of Washington teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 1, wherein the actuatable icon is a menu item on a menu (Washington, Properties with icons of figs. 21-22).

As to claims 4 and 13, Itoh in view of Washington teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 3, wherein the menu item is a numerical expression (col. 8 lines 45-63, and figs. 3A, 9G-F).

As to claims 5 and 14, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 4, wherein the numerical expression is selected from the group consisting of degrees and radians (col. 7 lines 31-58, angle and direction of figs. 3A, C, and 6).

As to claim 6, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 3, wherein the menu item is a compass point direction (parameters of angle, col. 2 lines 10, and figs. 3A & 6).

As to claims 7 and 16, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 3, wherein the rendered object having the shadow direction is rendered upon the menu (it can be rejected under similar rationale of claim 1 above).

As to claim 8, Itoh in view of Washington teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 7, further comprising a print actuation icon that when actuated actuates the printing of shadowed objects having the shadow direction (Washington, print icon on the GUI of figs. 21-22).

As to claim 9, Itoh in view of Washington teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 8, wherein a word processor software application actuates the display of the menu (Washington, Properties of figs. 21-22).



Art Unit: 2174

As to claim 10, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 7, wherein the printing operation is selected from the group consisting of printing (printer, col. 4 lines 1-31), magnetic tape recording, photo imaging substrate recording, and magneto optical storage device writing.

As to claim 17, Itoh teaches the user interface as defined in Claim 16, wherein:

the point is on the rendering of the predetermined object (shadow information 33, col. 1 lines 48-62, and figs. 3A & 6); and

the pointing device manipulates the point by a point, click, and drag operation (clicking by using pointing device, col. 7 lines 30-65).

As to claims 18-25, they are method claims of system claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 3, and 10. Note the rejections of claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, 3, and 10 above respectively.

As to claim 26, it is a computer program product claim of method claim 18. Note the rejection of claim 18 above.

As to claims 27-33, they are similar in scope to claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8, and 10 above; therefore, rejected under similar rationale.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Itoh (U.S. Patent No. 5,255,353) teaches shadow images, length, angle, and GUI (cols. 2-13 and figs. 1-10A).

Art Unit: 2174

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Truc T Chuong whose telephone number is 703-305-5753. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on 703-308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Truc T. Chuong

05/28/04

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100